

Exploring the impact of big data analytics capabilities on business model innovation: The mediating role of entrepreneurial orientation

Published on Journal of Business Research

FULL TEXT (DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.023>

Francesco Ciampi*

Full Professor

Department of Economics and Management, University of Florence,
Via delle Pandette 9 - Block D6, 3rd Floor, 50127 Firenze (Italy)

E-mail: francesco.ciampi@unifi.it

Stefano Demi

External Collaborator of the Department

Department of Economics and Management, University of Florence,
Via delle Pandette 9 - Block D6, 3rd Floor, 50127 Firenze (Italy)

stefano.demi@stud.unifi.it

Alessandro Magrini

Assistant Professor

Department of Statistics, Computer Science, Applications 'G. Parenti', University of
Florence,

Viale Morgagni, 59, 50134 Firenze (Italy)

E-mail: alessandro.magrini@unifi.it

Giacomo Marzi

(ORCID: 0000-0002-8769-2462)

Lecturer in Strategy and Enterprise

Lincoln International Business School - University of Lincoln, Lincoln
Brayford Pool, LN6 7TS Lincoln, UK

gmarzi@lincoln.ac.uk

Armando Papa

Associate Professor

University of Rome "Universitas Mercatorum" and National Research University –
Moscow - Russian Federation

Piazza Mattei, 10, Roma, Italy

armando.papa@unimercatorum.it

*** Corresponding Author**

Abstract

Big Data Analytics Capabilities (BDAC) represent critical tools for business competitiveness in highly dynamic markets. In this connection, by leveraging on the Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV) this study analyses the relationship between BDAC and Business Model Innovation (BMI). It argues that the impact of BDAC (a lower-order dynamic capability) on BMI is mediated by Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO; a higher-order dynamic capability). The proposed model is assessed by PLS-SEM (symmetric) and fuzzy-set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (asymmetric) methods using survey data from 253 UK firms. Our findings demonstrate that BDAC have both direct and indirect positive effects on BMI, with the latter being mediated by EO. These results enrich the innovation management literature on Big Data (BD) by showing that BDAC influence company strategic logics and objectives, rather than depending on them, thus playing a significant role in creating value for companies and their stakeholders.

Keywords

Big Data Analytics Capabilities, Business Model Innovation, Entrepreneurial Orientation, Dynamic Capabilities View, fsQCA, PLS-SEM

References

- Achtenhagen, L., Melin, L., & Naldi, L. (2013). Dynamics of Business Models – Strategizing, Critical Capabilities and Activities for Sustained Value Creation. *Long Range Planning*, 46(6), 427–442.
- Afonso, C., Silva, G. M., Gonçalves, H. M., & Duarte, M. (2018). The role of motivations and involvement in wine tourists' intention to return: SEM and fsQCA findings. *Journal of Business Research*, 89, 313–321.
- Akbar, P., Mai, R., & Hoffmann, S. (2016). When do materialistic consumers join commercial sharing systems. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4215–4224.
- Akter, S., Fosso Wamba, S., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2016). How to improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment? *International Journal of Production Economics*, 182, 113–131.
- Ambrosini, V., & Bowman, C. (2009). What are dynamic capabilities and are they a useful construct in strategic management? *International Journal of Managerial Reviews*, 11(1), 29–49.
- Amit, R., & Zott, C. (2012). Creating Value Through Business Model Innovation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 53(3), 41–49.
- Armstrong, S. J., & Overton, T. S. (1977). Estimating Non Response Bias Mail Surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14(3), 396–402.
- Arnold, C., Kiel, D., & Voigt, K. I. (2016). How the industrial internet of things changes business models in different manufacturing industries. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 20(8).
- Asemokha, A., Musona, J., Torkkeli, L., & Saarenketo, S. (2019). Business model innovation and entrepreneurial orientation relationships in SMEs: Implications for international performance. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 17(3), 425–453.
- Baden-Fuller, C., & Morgan, M. S. (2010). Business Model Foundations: Definitions and Approaches Business Models as Models. *Long Range Planning*, 43, 146–155.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Barton, D., & Court, D. (2012). Making Advanced Analytics Work For You. *Harvard Business Review*, 90(10), 78–83.
- Benitez, J., Castillo, A., Llorens, J., & Braojos, J. (2018). IT-enabled knowledge ambidexterity and innovation performance in small U.S. firms: The moderator role of social media capability. *Information & Management*, 55(1), 131–143.

- Bhatt, G. D., & Grover, V. (2005). Types of Information Technology Capabilities and Their Role in Competitive Advantage: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 22(2), 253–277.
- Bouncken, R. B., Kraus, S., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2019). Knowledge- and innovation-based business models for future growth: digitalized business models and portfolio considerations. *Review of Managerial Science*, 1–14.
- Bouncken, R. B., Lehmann, C., & Fellnhöfer, K. (2016). The role of entrepreneurial orientation and modularity for business model innovation in service companies. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*, 8(3), 237–260.
- Bouwman, H., Nikou, S., Molina-Castillo, F. J., & de Reuver, M. (2018). The impact of digitalization on business models. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 20(2), 105–124.
- Braganza, A., Brooks, L., Nepelski, D., Ali, M., & Moro, R. (2017). Resource management in big data initiatives: Processes and dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Business Research*, 70(1), 328–337.
- Bucherer, E., Eisert, U., & Gassmann, O. (2012). Towards Systematic Business Model Innovation: Lessons from Product Innovation Management. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 21(2), 183–198.
- Cepeda Carrión, G., Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Roldán, J. L. (2016). Prediction-oriented modeling in business research by means of PLS path modeling: Introduction to a JBR special section. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4545–4551.
- Cheah, S., & Wang, S. (2017). Big data-driven business model innovation by traditional industries in the Chinese economy. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies*, 10(3), 229–251.
- Chen, D. Q., Preston, D. S., & Swink, M. (2015). How the use of big data analytics affects value creation in supply chain management. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 32(4), 4–39.
- Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Nevo, S., Benitez-Amado, J., & Kou, G. (2015). IT capabilities and product innovation performance: The roles of corporate entrepreneurship and competitive intensity. *Information and Management*, 52(6), 643–657.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling. *Modern Methods for Business Research*, 295(2), 295–336.
- Ciampi, F., Marzi, G., Demi, S., & Faraoni, M. (2020). The big data-business strategy interconnection: a grand challenge for knowledge management. A review and future

- perspectives. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 24(5), 1157–1176.
- Ciampi, F., Marzi, G., & Rialti, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence, big data, strategic flexibility, agility, and organizational resilience: A conceptual framework based on existing literature. *Proceedings of the International Conferences on WWW/Internet and Applied Computing 2018*, 51–58.
- Clauss, T. (2017). Measuring business model innovation: conceptualization, scale development, and proof of performance. *R&D Management*, 47(3), 385–403.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Erlbaum.
- Côrte-Real, N., Oliveira, T., & Ruivo, P. (2017). Assessing business value of Big Data Analytics in European firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 379–390.
- Covin, J. G., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2011). Entrepreneurial Orientation Theory and Research: Reflections on a Needed Construct. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 35(5), 855–872.
- Del Giudice, M. (2016). Discovering the Internet of Things (IoT) within the business process management: A literature review on technological revitalization. *Business Process Management Journal*, 22(2), 263–270.
- Duşa, A. (2019). *QCA with R: A comprehensive resource*. Springer International Publishing.
- Dutta, S., Lanvin, B., & Wunsch-Vincent, S. (2018). *Global innovation index 2018: Energizing the world with innovation*.
- Eden, C., & Ackermann, F. (2000). Mapping distinctive competencies: a systemic approach. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 51(1), 12–20.
- Edwards, J. R. (2001). Multidimensional Constructs in Organizational Behavior Research: An Integrative Analytical Framework. *Organizational Research Methods*, 4(2), 144–192.
- Elia, G., Polimeno, G., Solazzo, G., & Passiante, G. (2019). A multi-dimension framework for value creation through big data. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *In press*.
- Farrell, A. M. (2010). Insufficient discriminant validity: A comment on Bove, Pervan, Beatty, and Shiu (2009). *Journal of Business Research*, 63(3), 324–327.
- Ferraris, A., Mazzoleni, A., Devalle, A., & Couturier, J. (2018). Big data analytics capabilities and knowledge management: impact on firm performance. *Management Decision*, 57(8), 1923–1936.
- Fischer, G. W., Luce, M. F., & Jia, J. (2000). Attribute Conflict and Preference Uncertainty: Effects on Judgment Time and Error. *Management Science*, 46(1), 88–103.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.

- Foss, N. J., & Saebi, T. (2018). Business models and business model innovation: Between wicked and paradigmatic problems. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 9–21.
- Fosso Wamba, S., Akter, S., Edwards, A., Chopin, G., & Gnanzou, D. (2015). How ‘big data’ can make big impact: Findings from a systematic review and a longitudinal case study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 165, 234–246.
- Fosso Wamba, S., Gunasekaran, A., Akter, S., Ren, S. J. fan, Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2017). Big data analytics and firm performance: Effects of dynamic capabilities. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 356–365.
- Fuller, C. M., Simmering, M. J., Atinc, G., Atinc, Y., & Babin, B. J. (2016). Common methods variance detection in business research. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(8), 3192–3198.
- Gambardella, A., & McGahan, A. M. (2010). Business-model innovation: General purpose technologies and their implications for industry structure. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 262–271.
- Garmaki, M., Boughzala, I., & Fosso Wamba, S. (2016). The Effect of Big Data Analytics Capability on Firm Performance. *PACIS 2016 Proceedings*, 301.
- Genc, E., Dayan, M., & Genc, O. F. (2019). The impact of SME internationalization on innovation: The mediating role of market and entrepreneurial orientation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 82, 253–264.
- Ghasemaghaei, M., & Calic, G. (2019). Does big data enhance firm innovation competency? The mediating role of data-driven insights. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 69–84.
- Gnizy, I. (2019). Big data and its strategic path to value in international firms. *International Marketing Review*, 36(3), 318–341.
- Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(S2), 109–122.
- Gupta, M., & George, J. F. (2016). Toward the development of a big data analytics capability. *Information and Management*, 53(8), 1049–1064.
- Gupta, S., Drave, V. A., Dwivedi, Y. K., Baabdullah, A. M., & Ismagilova, E. (2019). Achieving superior organizational performance via big data predictive analytics: A dynamic capability view. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *In press*.
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Mena, J. A. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 414–433.
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications.

- Heider, A., Gerken, M., van Dinther, N., & Hülsbeck, M. (2020). Business model innovation through dynamic capabilities in small and medium enterprises—Evidence from the German Mittelstand. *Journal of Business Research*, *In press*.
- Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., Ketchen, David J., J., Hair, J. F., Hult, T. M., & Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common Beliefs and Reality About PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). *Organizational Research Methods*, *17*(2), 182–209.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *43*(1), 115–135.
- Hooi, T. K., Abu, N. H. B., & Rahim, M. K. I. A. (2018). Relationship of big data analytics capability and product innovation performance using smartPLS 3.2.6: Hierarchical component modelling in PLS-SEM. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *7*(1), 51–64.
- Huber, G. P. (1991). Organizational Learning: The Contributing Processes and the Literatures. *Organization Science*, *2*(1), 88–115.
- Hughes, M., & Morgan, R. E. (2007). Deconstructing the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and business performance at the embryonic stage of firm growth. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *36*(5), 651–661.
- Jiang, W., Chai, H., Shao, J., & Feng, T. (2018). Green entrepreneurial orientation for enhancing firm performance: A dynamic capability perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *198*, 1311–1323.
- Jimenez-Jimenez, D., Martínez-Costa, M., & Sanchez Rodriguez, C. (2019). The mediating role of supply chain collaboration on the relationship between information technology and innovation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *23*(3), 548–567.
- Johnson, M. W., Christensen, C. M., & Kagermann, H. (2008). Reinventing Your Business Model. *Harvard Business Review*, *86*(12), 57–68.
- Khodaei, H., & Ortt, R. (2019). Capturing dynamics in business model frameworks. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *5*(1).
- Kock, N., & Hadaya, P. (2018). Minimum sample size estimation in PLS-SEM: The inverse square root and gamma-exponential methods. *Information Systems Journal*, *28*(1), 227–261.
- Kollmann, T., & Stöckmann, C. (2010). Antecedents of strategic ambidexterity: effects of entrepreneurial orientation on exploratory and exploitative innovations in adolescent

- organisations. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 52(1–2), 153–174.
- KPMG. (2019). UK ranked third in global list for innovation, disruption and technology. *KPMG*. <https://home.kpmg/uk/en/home/media/press-releases/2019/03/uk-ranked-third-in-global-list-for-innovation-disruption-and-technology.html>
- Kunz, W., Aksoy, L., Bart, Y., Heinonen, K., Kabadayi, S., Ordenes, F. V., Sigala, M., Diaz, D., & Theodoulidis, B. (2017). Customer engagement in a Big Data world. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 31(2), 161–171.
- Kwon, O., Lee, N., & Shin, B. (2014). Data quality management, data usage experience and acquisition intention of big data analytics. *International Journal of Information Management*, 34(3), 387–394.
- Kyrgidou, L. P., & Spyropoulou, S. (2013). Drivers and Performance Outcomes of Innovativeness: An Empirical Study. *British Journal of Management*, 24(3), 281–298.
- Lee, H. L. (2018). Big Data and the Innovation Cycle. *Production and Operations Management*, 27(9), 1642–1646.
- Lisboa, A., Skarmeas, D., & Saridakis, C. (2016). Entrepreneurial orientation pathways to performance: A fuzzy-set analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1319–1324.
- Lokshina, I. V., Lanting, C. J. M., & Durkin, B. J. (2018). IoT-and big data-driven data analysis services for third parties, strategic implications and business opportunities. *International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development*, 9(3), 34–52.
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1996). Clarifying the Entrepreneurial Orientation Construct and Linking It to Performance. *The Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 135.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct Measurement and Validation Procedures in MIS and Behavioral Research: Integrating New and Existing Techniques. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(2), 293–334.
- Makadok, R. (2001). Toward a synthesis of the resource-based and dynamic-capability views of rent creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 387–401.
- Makkonen, H., Pohjola, M., Olkkonen, R., & Koponen, A. (2014). Dynamic capabilities and firm performance in a financial crisis. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(1), 2707–2719.
- Manyika, J., Chui, M., Brown, B., Bughin, J., Dobbs, R., Roxburgh Charles, & Byers, A. H. (2011). Big data: The next frontier for innovation, competition, and productivity. *McKinsey Global Institute*.
- Marzi, G, Ciampi, F, Dalli, D, & Dabic, M (2020). New Product Development During the Last Ten Years: The Ongoing Debate and Future Avenues. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*. In press

- Mazzei, M. J., & Noble, D. (2017). Big data dreams: A framework for corporate strategy. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), 405–414.
- Mendel, J. M., & Korjani, M. (2012). Charles Ragin's Fuzzy Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA) used for linguistic summarizations. *Information Sciences*, 202, 1–23.
- Mikalef, P., Boura, M., Lekakos, G., & Krogstie, J. (2019). Big Data Analytics Capabilities and Innovation: The Mediating Role of Dynamic Capabilities and Moderating Effect of the Environment. *British Journal of Management*, 30(2), 272–298.
- Mikalef, P., Framnes, V., Danielsen, F., Krogstie, J., & Olsen, D. H. (2017). Big Data Analytics Capability: Antecedents and Business Value. *PACIS 2017 Proceedings*.
- Mikalef, P., Krogstie, J., Pappas, I. O., & Pavlou, P. A. (2020). Exploring the relationship between big data analytics capability and competitive performance: The mediating roles of dynamic and operational capabilities. *Information & Management*, 57, 1–15.
- Mikalef, P., & Pateli, A. (2017). Information technology-enabled dynamic capabilities and their indirect effect on competitive performance: Findings from PLS-SEM and fsQCA. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 1–16.
- Mütterlein, J., & Kunz, R. E. (2017). Innovate alone or with others? Influence of entrepreneurial orientation and alliance orientation on media business model innovation. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 14(3), 173–187.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Methods*. McGraw-Hill.
- Ordanini, A., Parasuraman, A., & Rubera, G. (2014). When the recipe is more important than the ingredients a qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) of service innovation configurations. *Journal of Service Research*, 17(2), 134–149.
- Paiola, M., & Gebauer, H. (2020). Internet of things technologies, digital servitization and business model innovation in BtoB manufacturing firms. *Industrial Marketing Management*, *In press*.
- Pappas, I. O., Mikalef, P., Giannakos, M. N., Krogstie, J., & Lekakos, G. (2018). Big data and business analytics ecosystems: paving the way towards digital transformation and sustainable societies. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 16(3), 479–491.
- Pappas, N., & Brown, A. E. (2020). Entrepreneurial Decisions in Tourism and Hospitality during Crisis. *Management Decision*, *In press*.
- Peng, D. X., & Lai, F. (2012). Using partial least squares in operations management research: A practical guideline and summary of past research. *Journal of Operations Management*, 30(6), 467–480.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common Method

- Biases in Behavioral Research: A Critical Review of the Literature and Recommended Remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903.
- Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2015). How smart, connected products are transforming companies. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(10), 96–114.
- Prescott, M. E. (2014). Big data and competitive advantage at Nielsen. *Management Decision*, 52(3), 573–601.
- Priem, R. L., & Butler, J. E. (2001). Is the resource-based “view” a useful perspective for strategic management research? *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 22–40.
- Qosasi, A., Maulina, E., Purnomo, M., Muftiadi, A., Permana, E., & Febrian, A. (2019). The impact of Information and Communication Technology Capability on the competitive advantage of small businesses. *International Journal of Technology*, 10(1), 167–177.
- Rachinger, M., Rauter, R., Müller, C., Vorraber, W., & Schirgi, E. (2019). Digitalization and its influence on business model innovation. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 30(8), 1143–1160.
- Ragin, C. C. (2000). *Fuzzy-set social science*. University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (2008). *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond*. University of Chicago Press.
- Rank, O. N., & Strenge, M. (2018). Entrepreneurial orientation as a driver of brokerage in external networks: Exploring the effects of risk taking, proactivity, and innovativeness. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 12(4), 482–503.
- Ransbotham, S., & Kiron, D. (2017). Analytics as a Source of Business Innovation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 58(3).
- Rehman, N., Razaq, S., Farooq, A., Zohaib, N. M., & Nazri, M. (2020). Information technology and firm performance: mediation role of absorptive capacity and corporate entrepreneurship in manufacturing SMEs. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 1–17.
- Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2009). *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques*. Sage Publications.
- Ritala, P., & Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P. (2013). Incremental and Radical Innovation in Coopetition-The Role of Absorptive Capacity and Appropriability. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(1), 154–169.
- Roberts, M. E., Stewart, B. M., & Tingley, D. (2016). Navigating the local modes of big data. In *Computational Social Science: Discovery and Prediction* (pp. 51–97). Cambridge University Press.

- Ross, J. W., Beath, C. M., & Quaadgras, A. (2013). You May Not Need Big Data After All. *Harvard Business Review*, 91(12), 90–98.
- Sanchez, G., Trinchera, L., & Russolillo, G. (2017). plspm: tools for partial least squares path modeling (PLS-PM). In *R package version 0.4.9*.
- Santoro, G., Fiano, F., Bertoldi, B., & Ciampi, F. (2019). Big data for business management in the retail industry. *Management Decision*, 57(8), 1980–1992.
- Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C. (2010). Standards of Good Practice in Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Fuzzy-Sets. *Comparative Sociology*, 9(3), 397–418.
- Schneider, S., & Spieth, P. (2013). Business Model Innovation: towards an integrated future research agenda. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 17(1).
- Schüritz, R., & Satzger, G. (2016). Patterns of Data-Infused Business Model Innovation. *Proceedings - CBI 2016: 18th IEEE Conference on Business Informatics*, 1, 133–142.
- Shan, S., Luo, Y., Zhou, Y., & Wei, Y. (2019). Big data analysis adaptation and enterprises' competitive advantages: the perspective of dynamic capability and resource-based theories. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 31(4), 406–420.
- Sheng, J., Amankwah-Amoah, J., & Wang, X. (2017). A multidisciplinary perspective of big data in management research. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 191, 97–112.
- Skarmeas, D., Leonidou, C. N., & Saridakis, C. (2014). Examining the role of CSR skepticism using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(9), 1796–1805.
- Sorescu, A. (2017). Data-Driven Business Model Innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 34(5), 691–696.
- Spieth, P., Roeth, T., & Meissner, S. (2019). Reinventing a business model in industrial networks: Implications for customers' brand perceptions. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 83, 275–287.
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business Models, Business Strategy and Innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 172–194.
- Teece, D. J. (2016). Dynamic capabilities and entrepreneurial management in large organizations: toward a theory of the (entrepreneurial) firm. *European Economic Review*, 86, 202–216.
- Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning*, 51(1), 40–49.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic Capabilities and Strategic Management.

- Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Trabucchi, D., Buganza, T., Dell’Era, C., & Pellizzoni, E. (2018). Exploring the inbound and outbound strategies enabled by user generated big data: Evidence from leading smartphone applications. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 27(1), 42–55.
- Usai, A., Scuotto, V., Murray, A., Fiano, F., & Dezi, L. (2018). Do entrepreneurial knowledge and innovative attitude overcome “imperfections” in the innovation process? Insights from SMEs in the UK and Italy. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 22(8), 1637–1654.
- Wang, G., Dou, W., Zhu, W., & Zhou, N. (2015). The effects of firm capabilities on external collaboration and performance: The moderating role of market turbulence. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(9), 1928–1936.
- Wang, X., Dass, M., Arnett, D. B., & Yu, X. (2020). Understanding firms’ relative strategic emphases: An entrepreneurial orientation explanation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 84, 151–164.
- Wang, Y., & Hajli, N. (2017). Exploring the path to big data analytics success in healthcare. *Journal of Business Research*, 70(1), 287–299.
- Watson, G. F., Weaven, S., Perkins, H., Sardana, D., & Palmatier, R. W. (2018). International Market Entry Strategies: Relational, Digital, and Hybrid Approaches. *Journal of International Marketing*, 26(1), 30–60.
- Wiklund, J., & Shepherd, D. (2005). Entrepreneurial orientation and small business performance: a configurational approach. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(1), 71–91.
- Winter, S. G., & Szulanski, G. (2001). Replication as Strategy. *Organization Science*, 12(6), 730–743.
- Woerner, S. L., & Wixom, B. H. (2015). Big Data: Extending the Business Strategy Toolbox. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30(1), 60–62.
- Woodside, A. G. (2013). Moving beyond multiple regression analysis to algorithms: Calling for adoption of a paradigm shift from symmetric to asymmetric thinking in data analysis and crafting theory. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(4), 463–472.
- Xiao, X., Tian, Q., & Mao, H. (2020). How the interaction of big data analytics capabilities and digital platform capabilities affects service innovation: A dynamic capabilities view. *IEEE Access*, 8, 18778–18796.
- Xie, K., Wu, Y., Xiao, J., & Hu, Q. (2016). Value co-creation between firms and customers: The role of big data-based cooperative assets. *Information and Management*, 53(8), 1034–1048.
- Zaki, M. (2019). Digital transformation: harnessing digital technologies for the next generation

- of services. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 33(4), 429–435.
- Zeng, J., & Khan, Z. (2019). Value creation through big data in emerging economies: The role of resource orchestration and entrepreneurial orientation. *Management Decision*, 57(8), 1818–1838.
- Zhang, J. A., Edgar, F., Geare, A., & O’Kane, C. (2016). The interactive effects of entrepreneurial orientation and capability-based HRM on firm performance: The mediating role of innovation ambidexterity. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 59, 131–143.